Revenue Forecasting Using Predictive Financial Analytics

Prakhar Srivastav[1] and Dr. Ambrish Kumar Pandey[2]
[1]B.Sc (Hons) Mathematics, Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow, India
E-mail: prakhar.srivastav@s.amity.edu   akpandey@lko.amity.edu

Abstract: This project, titled "Revenue Forecasting Using Predictive Financial Analytics," presents a comprehensive study on developing and applying advanced mathematical and statistical techniques to accurately forecast quarterly revenues for organizations, demonstrated through a case study on True Beacon, a leading asset management company. The core of the forecasting framework relies on the Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model, a widely respected and proven approach in time series analysis. ARIMA's ability to capture underlying patterns such as trends, autocorrelation, and seasonality in financial data makes it particularly suited for revenue prediction tasks, which are inherently sequential and time dependent.

The project begins with meticulous data collection and preprocessing to ensure a clean and consistent time series dataset, reflecting True Beacon's revenue history. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) forms the foundational step, wherein key statistical properties such as mean, variance, stationarity, and structural breaks are examined. Visual tools like time plots and autocorrelation functions (ACF) along with partial autocorrelation functions (PACF) help identify the underlying dynamics and inform the choice of model parameters.

Central to the methodology is the application of differencing techniques to stabilize the data and achieve stationarity, a crucial prerequisite for valid ARIMA modelling. By integrating the Autoregressive (AR) and Moving Average (MA) components, ARIMA adeptly accounts for both past revenue values and previous forecast errors, enhancing predictive accuracy. The model parameters denoted as (p, d, q) are systematically selected using rigorous criteria such as Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), balancing model complexity and goodness of fit.

While True Beacon serves as the primary example for model application, the project extends its scope by discussing the adaptability of the forecasting framework to other firms, such as Zerodha , The integration of mathematical rigor, statistical validation, and practical business

insights positions this project at the intersection of finance, data analytics, and management. It showcases the power of predictive financial analytics not only as a tool for accurate forecasting but also as a strategic aid for decision-makers aiming to optimize financial planning and resource allocation. This study thus contributes to the growing field of data-driven financial management by providing a replicable, transparent, and effective forecasting methodology.

2. Introduction

In today's fast-paced and ever-evolving financial landscape, **accurate revenue forecasting** is indispensable for companies aiming to maintain competitiveness, optimize resource allocation, and strategically plan for the future. Forecasting future revenue enables businesses to make informed decisions regarding investments, budgeting, risk management, and growth initiatives. As financial markets become increasingly complex, the ability to anticipate revenue trends through data-driven predictive analytics is no longer a luxury but a necessity.

The project titled **"Revenue Forecasting Using Predictive Financial Analytics"** focuses on developing a mathematically rigorous and practically applicable framework to forecast quarterly revenues using historical financial data. This framework is designed to assist firms in navigating uncertainty and capitalizing on opportunities through precise revenue predictions. Predictive financial analytics employs a combination of statistical models, time series analysis, and computational algorithms to extract meaningful patterns from past data and project them into the future.

True Beacon, an asset management company serving high-net-worth individuals, is an ideal case study for applying predictive financial analytics. With its core business cantered on capital management and investment strategies, True Beacon requires accurate revenue forecasts to inform strategic decisions, client communications, and regulatory compliance. Revenue streams in such firms are influenced by diverse factors including market conditions, investor behaviour, and portfolio performance. Hence, the forecasting model must accommodate the inherent volatility and complexity of financial time series data.

At the heart of this project is the application of the **AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model**, a well-established tool in the domain of time series forecasting. ARIMA models excel at capturing temporal dependencies in sequential data, including trends

and autocorrelations, which are crucial for understanding revenue dynamics. The project methodology involves preparing historical revenue data through rigorous preprocessing steps such as cleaning, handling missing values, and ensuring data stationarity a condition necessary for valid statistical inference.

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) plays a critical role in this study. Through EDA, the data is examined for underlying patterns, fluctuations, shifts in variance, and structural breaks. Techniques such as plotting revenue over time, calculating summary statistics, and analyzing autocorrelation functions help uncover insights that guide model selection and parameter tuning. Stationarity tests and differencing methods are employed to stabilize the data, ensuring that the ARIMA model can make reliable forecasts.

Moreover, the project evaluates forecasting accuracy using statistical metrics like Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). These measures quantify the difference between predicted and actual revenue, facilitating model refinement and validation. The analytical rigor embedded in this approach ensures that the forecasting framework is both robust and interpretable, making it a valuable asset for financial analysts and managers alike.

While True Beacon serves as the primary example to demonstrate the model's practical application, the framework's adaptability extends to other financial firms such as Zerodha. The project discusses how differences in business models, data availability, and market contexts might influence model customization and forecasting reliability. This broader applicability underscores the relevance of predictive financial analytics across varied sectors within the financial services industry.

Ultimately, this project bridges the gap between mathematical theory and real-world financial management. By integrating predictive modelling with financial data analytics, it offers a systematic approach to revenue forecasting that supports strategic business objectives. The insights gained through this study not only enhance forecasting precision but also empower decision-makers to proactively respond to market dynamics, manage risks, and seize growth opportunities.

3. Methodology

The core of this project lies in building a mathematically sound forecasting model to predict future revenues of True Beacon, using time series analysis with a focus on the ARIMA (AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average) model. The methodology integrates systematic data handling, statistical testing, and model validation to ensure robust and reliable forecasts. Below is a detailed explanation of each step in the forecasting pipeline:

3.1 Data Collection and Cleaning

The first step involves gathering historical revenue data for True Beacon. Since this information may not be publicly available in granular form, proxies such as industry trends, macroeconomic indicators, or benchmark datasets are used. The data must be structured in a time series format typically quarterly or monthly.

Cleaning involves checking for missing values, outliers, and ensuring consistent formatting (e.g., date formats). Outliers can be smoothed using statistical techniques or domain-driven justifications. Handling missing values is crucial as it affects the stationarity and autocorrelation analysis that follows.

3.2 Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

EDA helps in understanding the structure, trends, and underlying patterns in the data. Key metrics examined include:

- **Mean and variance over time:** Fluctuations in this hint at non-stationarity.

- **Trend and seasonality:** Visualizing through line plots and decompositions (additive/multiplicative).

- **Distribution of revenue changes:** Histograms and KDE plots to see skewness and kurtosis.

- This stage builds intuition about the behavior of the revenue series and informs how to transform the data (e.g., log transforms or differencing).

3.3 Stationarity Testing

ARIMA assumes the input series is stationary i.e., its statistical properties (mean, variance, autocorrelation) do not change over time. To test this:

- **Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test** is applied to detect the presence of a unit root.

- **Rolling statistics** (mean and variance) are plotted to visually inspect stationarity.

  If non-stationarity is detected (which is common in financial data), **differencing** is performed — subtracting each data point from its previous value — until the series becomes stationary. Sometimes, seasonal differencing is also needed.

3.4 ACF and PACF Analysis

To determine appropriate ARIMA parameters (p, d, q):

- **Autocorrelation Function (ACF)**: Helps choose the MA (moving average) component q.

- **Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF)**: Helps choose the AR (autoregressive) component p.

  These plots show correlations between lags and the current value, which are crucial in selecting the structure of the model. The order of differencing d is informed by stationarity tests.

3.5 Model Building: ARIMA

With p, d, and q determined, the ARIMA model is constructed.

- The **AR (p)** term uses past values to predict the current one.

- The **I (d)** term represents differencing to remove trends and make the series stationary.

- The **MA (q)** term models the residual error as a function of past forecast errors. The model is trained on the majority of the time series data, with a hold-out set reserved for evaluation. Model tuning may involve iterating over multiple (p,d,q) combinations to find the best-fit model using criteria like AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) and BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion).

3.6 Forecast Generation

Once trained, the ARIMA model is used to generate revenue forecasts for future periods. Forecasts are plotted alongside actual values (where available) to visualize performance.

Prediction intervals (e.g., 95% confidence intervals) are also shown to quantify uncertainty in the forecast.

3.7 Model Evaluation

Accuracy of the model is evaluated using error metrics:

- **Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)**: Penalizes larger errors more significantly.

- **Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)**: Measures average deviation as a percentage, useful for business interpretation.

- **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)**: Simple measure of average forecast error. These metrics are calculated on the hold-out set to judge how well the model generalizes. If accuracy is unsatisfactory, model re-tuning or use of advanced methods (like SARIMA or hybrid models) may be considered.

Together, these steps formed a **cohesive and replicable approach** to financial forecasting using time series modelling. They ensured that:

- The data was mathematically treated and made fit for modelling.

- The forecasting was not based on guesswork, but statistically tested components.

- The results were not just predictive but explainable and grounded in rigorous evaluation.

This approach demonstrates the power of **mathematics and predictive analytics** in generating actionable financial insights, making it both academically solid and industry relevant.

4. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) serves as a crucial pre-modelling step in the revenue forecasting pipeline. For this research, historical revenue data of True Beacon, or data from a structurally similar financial firm, was used as the base for analysis. The primary goal of EDA is to uncover the intrinsic structure and characteristics of the time series before formal modelling begin. The dataset was first visualized using time-series plots, allowing the identification of long-term trends, seasonality, and any abrupt changes in revenue patterns.

These visual tools enabled a clearer understanding of how revenues have evolved over time, highlighting periods of growth or stagnation.

Mathematical measures such as **mean, standard deviation, and variance** were computed over rolling windows to analyze the dynamic behavior of the data. Shifts in the **rolling mean** signal changes in the trend or level of the series, while volatility is captured through changes in the **rolling standard deviation**. A consistently increasing or decreasing variance could indicate heteroskedasticity, often requiring data transformation or smoothing.

In this study, **rolling statistics** were plotted to assess stability. Periods with sudden jumps in variance or non-constant means suggested non-stationarity, a critical observation as stationary data is a prerequisite for ARIMA modelling. Such patterns may also imply external market influences or company-specific events impacting revenue. Further, the **distribution of returns** (log differences in revenue, if applicable) was evaluated using histograms and Q-Q plots to check for normality—an important assumption for certain statistical models. Outliers were identified and flagged for further inspection, as they can significantly skew forecasting models if left untreated.

Additionally, **seasonal decomposition** was conducted using additive or multiplicative models to isolate and understand seasonal components, trends, and residuals. This provided insights into whether certain quarters or months consistently performed better or worse in terms of revenue, aiding in both model choice and parameter tuning.

In summary, the EDA phase revealed that the revenue series demonstrated moderate non-stationarity and possible seasonal effects. These findings informed the subsequent steps, such as differencing and ARIMA parameter selection, ensuring the model was well-suited to capture the underlying dynamics of the revenue data.

5. ARIMA Model

The ARIMA model, short for AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average, is a widely adopted statistical framework used for time series forecasting, particularly when historical data exhibits complex patterns like trend and autocorrelation. Given the financial nature of this project—forecasting quarterly revenues of a financial firm such as True Beacon—the ARIMA model is chosen due to its strong theoretical foundation, transparency, and interpretability.

The ARIMA model is denoted as ARIMA (p, d, q), where:

- p represents the order of the autoregressive (AR) component,

- d signifies the number of times the series needs to be differenced to attain stationarity (the integration component),

- q denotes the order of the moving average (MA) component.

5.1 Autoregressive (AR) Component

The AR part of the model assumes that the current value of the series Yt is a linear combination of its past values. Formally, it is expressed as:

$$Y_t = c + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \phi_2 Y_{t-2} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_{t-p} + e_t$$

Where:

- c is a constant term,

- ϕ_i are the AR coefficients to be learned,

- Y_{t-i} are lagged values of the revenue time series,

- e_t is a white noise error term (mean zero and constant variance).

This component captures the persistence in the revenue series—i.e., how past quarters influence current performance.

5.2 Integrated (I) Component

Real-world financial data often contains trends or other non-stationary behaviors. The integration component addresses this by differencing the series ddd times until it becomes stationary:

$$Y_t' = Y_t - Y_{t-1}$$

If further differencing is required, second-order differencing can be used:

$$Y_t'' = Y_t' - Y_{t-1}'$$

The choice of d is guided by statistical tests like the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test, which checks for unit roots.

5.3 Moving Average (MA) Component

The MA part models the error term as a linear combination of past forecast errors. The general form is:

$$Y_t = c + e_t + \theta_1 e_{t-1} + \theta_2 e_{t-2} + \ldots + \theta_q e_{t-q}$$

Where:

- θ_j are MA coefficients,

- e_{t-j} are past error terms (residuals).

This captures short-term shocks or randomness that the AR terms fail to explain, smoothing out noise and improving model robustness.

5.4 Full ARIMA Model

The complete ARIMA model combines these three elements and is generally expressed as:

$$Y_t = c + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_{t-p} + \theta_1 e_{t-1} + \ldots + \theta_q e_{t-q} + e_t$$

When applied to a differenced series (to ensure stationarity), this formulation becomes powerful for capturing linear dependencies over time.

5.5 Significance in Revenue Forecasting

For this project, the ARIMA model was selected for several reasons:

- Mathematical Transparency: It offers clear statistical reasoning behind predictions.

- Interpretability: Each component (AR, I, MA) can be examined for financial insight.

- Accuracy: ARIMA performs well on historical revenue datasets where patterns are linear and seasonality is manageable.

- Stationarity Handling: The model handles trends through differencing, making it suitable for real-world financial time series data.

By applying ARIMA to True Beacon's revenue data (or a comparable financial firm), we ensure the modelling process is not only rigorous but also grounded in proven statistical methodology.

6. Stationarity and Differencing

One of the foundational assumptions of ARIMA modeling is that the time series data being analyzed must be **stationary**. In simple terms, a stationary time series is one whose statistical properties — such as **mean**, **variance**, and **covariance** — remain **constant over time**. This stability is critical because non-stationary data can lead to unreliable and misleading forecasts.

□ Importance of Stationarity

Non-stationary series typically exhibit **trends**, **seasonality**, or **changing variance**, which obscure the underlying patterns necessary for accurate modeling. ARIMA models require stationarity to ensure that the underlying time structure does not shift over time.

□ Differencing

To transform a non-stationary time series into a stationary one, we apply **differencing**. This involves subtracting the current observation from the previous observation:

$$Y'_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1}$$

For some datasets, a single differencing (d = 1) may suffice; for others, second or higher-order differencing might be required.

$$Y''_t = Y'_t - Y'_{t-1} = (Y_t - Y_{t-1}) - (Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2})$$

The number of differencing operations required is captured by the **'d' parameter** in the ARIMA(p, d, q) model.

☐ Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test

To statistically assess whether a time series is stationary, the **ADF Test** is widely used. It tests the null hypothesis that a unit root is present (i.e., the series is non-stationary).

- **If p-value < 0.05**: Reject the null hypothesis → The series is stationary.
- **If p-value > 0.05**: Fail to reject → Apply differencing.

 ADF Test Equation:

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \beta t + \gamma Y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta Y_{t-1} + ... + \delta_p \Delta Y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t$$

Where:

- ΔY_t is the differenced series,
- Γ is the parameter of interest (testing stationarity),
- E_t is the error term.

7. ACF and PACF Plots:

Identifying ARIMA Parameters

After ensuring the series is stationary, the next task is to determine the correct structure of the ARIMA model, i.e., the **values of p and q**. For this, we use **ACF (Autocorrelation Function)** and **PACF (Partial Autocorrelation Function)** plots.

☐ **Autocorrelation Function (ACF)**

The ACF plot shows the **correlation of the time series with its own past values** (lags). It helps identify the **'q' parameter** (order of the Moving Average part).

- **If ACF drops off slowly**, the series may be non-stationary.
- **If ACF cuts off after lag k**, then q = k.

☐ **Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF)**

The PACF plot shows the **correlation between the series and its lag**, controlling for the influence of intermediate lags. It helps determine the **'p' parameter** (order of the AutoRegressive part).

- **If PACF cuts off after lag k**, then p = k.

$$\text{ACF at lag } k = \frac{\sum_{t=k+1}^{T}(Y_t - \bar{Y})(Y_{t-k} - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{t=1}^{T}(Y_t - \bar{Y})^2}$$

PACF is calculated recursively using **Yule-Walker equations** to remove indirect correlations.

☐ Practical Role in Model Selection

Using these plots:

- If PACF cuts off at lag 1 and ACF tails off → Likely AR(1).

- If ACF cuts off at lag 1 and PACF tails off → Likely MA(1).

- If both tail off → ARMA or full ARIMA depending on differencing.

☐ Visualization

These plots can be generated using statistical packages (e.g., Python's statsmodels or R's forecast) and are integral during the model-building stage to visually inspect autocorrelation structures.

8. Application to True Beacon

The ARIMA-based forecasting model was applied to True Beacon's historical revenue data to assess its real-world applicability and accuracy. The process began with data preprocessing, including cleaning, transformation, and exploratory analysis. Summary statistics such as rolling means and standard deviations revealed important patterns in volatility and revenue behavior.

The ARIMA model parameters — p, d, and q — were selected using ACF and PACF plots, alongside results from the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test to ensure stationarity. A grid

search method further refined the parameter selection based on minimizing error metrics like RMSE and MAPE.

Upon training, the model exhibited high forecasting accuracy across multiple future time periods. Error metrics demonstrated minimal deviation from actual values, indicating that the model captured temporal dependencies effectively. As a result, ARIMA proved not only mathematically sound but also practically reliable in modeling the financial behavior of an asset management firm like True Beacon.

This validates the broader proposition that time series forecasting, grounded in strong statistical principles, can be an asset to firms with structured financial records and relatively stable market conditions.

The application of ARIMA-based revenue forecasting conveys three key insights and benefits for True Beacon:

1. Financial Predictability & Stability

The model provides a clear and mathematically grounded projection of future revenues. This helps True Beacon:

- Prepare for quarterly performance expectations.
- Identify patterns in revenue — such as growth spurts or seasonal slowdowns.
- Make strategic investment and budgeting decisions confidently.

What it states: "True Beacon has a statistically predictable revenue stream that can be mathematically modeled for future planning."

2. Analytical Maturity

The use of a rigorous statistical model like ARIMA highlights that True Beacon is ready to integrate data science and predictive analytics into its financial operations — just like top-tier investment and asset management firms.

What it states: "The company values data-driven decision-making and possesses the potential to scale such models across other KPIs like client acquisition, fund performance, or AUM growth."

3. Risk Preparedness

Through the early detection of anomalies, shifts, or volatility in revenues (via EDA and stationarity analysis), the company can respond to financial disruptions early — for example:

- Economic downturns
- Regulatory impacts
- Sudden client attrition

What it states: "True Beacon can become more proactive in managing revenue risks, using predictive signals instead of reactive adjustments."

Summary:

The report shows that True Beacon is not just managing wealth — it's managing it smartly, backed by math.It sets a benchmark for financial foresight, proving that with the right predictive tools, even the dynamic finance space can offer reliable insights.

9. Generalizability to Other Firms (e.g., Zerodha)

The methodology adopted in this project is not exclusively limited to True Beacon; it holds potential for adaptation to other firms such as Zerodha. However, the application must consider the firm's unique operational environment.

Zerodha, as a retail stockbroker platform, exhibits different revenue dynamics compared to True Beacon. Factors such as:

- High transaction volumes,
- Market-driven fee variability,
- Seasonal spikes due to investor activity,
- And macroeconomic events (e.g., interest rate announcements or budget presentations),

would likely affect revenue streams differently than in an asset management context. Hence, while the ARIMA model's mathematical framework remains robust, some modifications are necessary:

- **Differencing Order** (d) might need re-tuning due to different stationarity behavior.
- **Inclusion of Exogenous Variables (ARIMAX)** may be beneficial — e.g., number of active accounts, daily market turnover, or policy announcements.
- Seasonality could be more pronounced in Zerodha's data, possibly warranting the use of **SARIMA (Seasonal ARIMA)** instead.

Despite these differences, the core strength of ARIMA — modeling autocorrelations and trends in time series — ensures that with domain-specific adjustments, it remains a powerful tool. The methodological rigor employed here gives a template that is mathematically transferable and practically scalable across different financial firms.

10. Conclusion

This research explored the application of predictive financial analytics for revenue forecasting, using mathematically grounded time series modeling techniques. At the heart of the analysis lies the ARIMA (AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average) model — a powerful statistical tool for forecasting sequential data, particularly suited for financial time series. The selection of ARIMA was based on its interpretability, adaptability, and proven performance in domains where historical dependencies, trends, and noise structures significantly impact future behavior — making it an ideal candidate for this revenue-based forecasting study.

The project successfully built a forecasting framework using the quarterly revenue data of True Beacon, an asset management firm with dynamic and performance-sensitive income streams. Starting from rigorous exploratory data analysis (EDA), the time series was assessed for stationarity, variance shifts, seasonality, and underlying noise. Important statistical techniques — such as differencing, rolling statistics, and Augmented Dickey-Fuller tests — were applied to make the data suitable for ARIMA modeling. ACF and PACF plots were used to optimally choose the order of the model (p, d, q), helping tailor it to the nature of the financial time series.

The model's performance was evaluated using error metrics like MAE (Mean Absolute Error), RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error), and MAPE (Mean Absolute Percentage Error). These metrics provided quantitative insights into the forecasting accuracy, making the model evaluation phase both transparent and mathematically robust. This rigorous model validation ensured that the predictions were not only statistically significant but also practically useful for financial decision-making.

In applying the model to True Beacon, it was found that ARIMA could effectively map historical patterns to project revenue outcomes with a considerable degree of accuracy. Given True Beacon's relatively stable and trend-driven income pattern, the ARIMA model provided a strong baseline for predictive analytics. Through this case study, the utility of mathematical modeling in business strategy was clearly demonstrated, emphasizing how numbers, if interpreted through the right lens, can tell a predictive story.

More importantly, this study illustrates that the methodology developed is not confined to a single firm. It has strong generalizability to other financial entities — provided the nuances of each firm's data are accounted for. For instance, in a high-frequency trading firm like Zerodha, where external macroeconomic factors and user behavior drastically influence revenue, the model might need to evolve into more complex variants such as ARIMAX (ARIMA with exogenous variables) or SARIMA (Seasonal ARIMA). Nevertheless, the core structure and mathematical rigor of ARIMA remain valuable assets, capable of scaling across different datasets and operational contexts.

From a mathematical perspective, this project underscores the importance of theoretical soundness and statistical diagnostics in predictive modeling. Unlike black-box machine learning models that often lack interpretability, ARIMA offers transparent and explainable forecasting, which is vital in the financial domain where stakeholders require clear reasoning behind predictions.

In a broader academic and professional context, the project reflects the growing convergence of mathematics, data science, and finance. The ability to extract meaningful forecasts from past data — using a structured and rigorous statistical process — is an increasingly sought-after skill in the analytics industry. By demonstrating the development, validation, and real-

world applicability of such a model, this research positions itself as a significant contribution to both educational objectives and industry readiness.

Future Scope:

Moving forward, the model could be enhanced by:

- Incorporating exogenous variables (like market trends or client acquisition rates),
- Testing ensemble models that combine ARIMA with machine learning,
- Automating model tuning through grid search and cross-validation,
- Expanding to multivariate time series analysis, where revenue is predicted alongside correlated financial indicators.

These future directions open doors to more nuanced modeling, better accuracy, and broader adoption in varied financial scenarios. Ultimately, this research acts as both a foundational template and a steppingstone for deeper studies in predictive financial analytics and quantitative forecasting.

11. References:

1. Box, G. E. P., Jenkins, G. M., Reinsel, G. C., & Ljung, G. M. (2015). *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control* (5th ed.). Wiley. — Foundational text on ARIMA and time series forecasting techniques.

2. Hyndman, R. J., & Athanasopoulos, G. (2021). *Forecasting: Principles and Practice* (3rd ed.). OTexts. — Comprehensive guide to forecasting methods including ARIMA and model evaluation metrics.

3. Brockwell, P. J., & Davis, R. A. (2016). *Introduction to Time Series and Forecasting* (3rd ed.). Springer. — Covers theoretical foundations of time series models with real-world examples.

4. Makridakis, S., Spiliotis, E., & Assimakopoulos, V. (2018). "Statistical and Machine Learning Forecasting Methods: Concerns and Ways Forward." *PLOS ONE*, 13(3), e0194889.
   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194889

— Comparison of traditional statistical models like ARIMA with modern ML techniques.

5. Chatfield, C. (2003). *The Analysis of Time Series: An Introduction* (6th ed.). CRC Press.

   — A practical introduction to time series analysis, including diagnostics and applications.

6. Investopedia. (n.d.). *AutoRegressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA)*. https://www.investopedia.com/terms/a/arima.asp

   — Overview of the ARIMA model with financial applications.

7. True Beacon. (n.d.). *Company Financials and Investment Philosophy*. https://truebeacon.com

   — Source for understanding the financial model and revenue patterns of True Beacon.

8. Zerodha. (n.d.). *Zerodha - India's Largest Stock Broker*. https://zerodha.com

   — Referenced for comparative analysis in the generalizability section.

9. Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic Econometrics* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

   — Used for understanding econometric validation and statistical metrics like ADF test.

10. National Stock Exchange of India. (n.d.). *Market Statistics & Reports*. https://www.nseindia.com

    — Referenced for understanding broader market context impacting financial firms.